

**From:** Gordon Wayne Watts

**To:** Sam Cardinale, Director, Polk County, Fla., State Attorney's Office (863-534-4800)

**Date:** Wednesday, 01 September 2010

**Subject:** Your request... involves Asst St Atty Mike Cusick

Sam, I hate to bother you, but you remember that, when we spoke yesterday evening, you suggested I contact the **FDLE** and a few other agencies regarding my complaints against the Lakeland Police Dept of perjury, false arrest based on this perjured affidavit, blocking my phone and (even assuming I had deserved to have my phone blocked) denying me Due Process in that, refusal to release public records showing I had been asked to call a certain office right before being blocked (which would have shown the block was retaliation), etc.

Anyhow, when I contact them, they are going to want to know why I waited this long to do something, and I'll have to give them an explanation: That I contacted your office and was turned down, but in order to do this without going through a lengthy deposition process (which would be pain that should be avoided), I'd simply like a statement from the Assistant State Attorney, Mike Cusick, who spoke with me on this as to his reasoning. Since he refused to speak with me on the phone yesterday, I think he must think I'm a dog and hate my guts, **but I don't hate him, and I'm not trying to cause him any grief** -I just want either prosecution –or, instead, a brief explanation as to why he refused to prosecute, as he promised when I spoke with him. So, I'll make it easy: I'll ask my question, and I'll let your colleague answer any way he likes. ***OK, here goes...***

My Question: Mike, you remember me when I came to your office several years ago and told you that the Lakeland Police Department had officers that committed perjury; When we spoke, you bragged that your office was not afraid to go after bad cops, and you told me that you wanted me to submit proof of the perjury to your office, and you would somehow or another look into it (or refer it to the right person). – Well, a few weeks later, when I came back to your office with a microcasstte recording of the dispatch call that showed that the 2 cops' affidavits were false lies (i.e., legally, perjury), and your secretary told me you didn't even want to hear it, I was displeased. **— So, here's my question: “Why did you refuse to look into it, as you had promised me?” – I'll make it easy for you. Please, briefly, pick the answer that you think is correct, whatever it may be:**

(a) Mr. Watts, you're lying: You never came by my office and shared with me this complaint. *(Please don't pick this answer unless you really think it's would be wise to call me a liar, but if you think I'm lying or flatly mistaken, go ahead and pick it.)*

(b) Gordon, I was over-worked and stressed and made a mistake here: I should have looked into it. Please resubmit your evidence. *(Please specify which format to submit if you chose this option.)*

(c) It was not the job of my office to take a complaint from a citizen, such as yourself, Mr. Watts; You should have submitted it to a police or investigative agency (e.g., FDLE, Sheriff's Office, Attorney General's Office) for screening -and asked them to submit it to our office.

(d) I just don't feel like answering; Instead, hire a lawyer to depose me.

(e) OTHER: *(Please fill in the blank if you don't see the right choice above)*

**--I know both of you are busy, so I'll be brief & won't bother you further at this time; Thank you, Sam and Mike, for your help, --Gordon Wayne Watts- Lakeland, Florida (Ph: 863-688-9880)**