

In attempting to contact a lawyer to look into my allegations of perjury, I remembered WLKF-Talk-1430's 'Law Talk' radio program. --- Although I was a more frequent caller to the program than your average listener, I wasn't near as 'regular' returning caller as some others, such as Randy Wilkinson or Neil Combee, who were friends of the talk show hosts. --- So, one show (circa: Sept 2008), I tried to get a little (*I admit*) 'free' legal advice (*with the intent to retain them if they proved knowledgeable*), but when I called in, Dan, the call-screener said that the lawyer (he didn't say which one) wanted me to call his office instead, as (I suppose) it was a little too complex to address on-air: I called A. Brent Geohagen, one of the lawyers & left a message. --- When he didn't return my call, I figured I'd try one more time, & on Monday, 27 Oct 2008, I called again, and the secretary freaked out on me, saying to 'not call again.' I told her I was just returning a message given to me by the WLKF call-screener and hung up -and did not call back again. Ever. --- So, wondering what was the problem, I wrote the Law firm on Tue. 28 Oct. 2008 by U.S. Postal Mail -but did not hear back. Thinking my letter didn't arrive, I sent a 2nd letter by private courier a little while later, on Fri., 02 Jan. 2009 -asking what I had done to offend them. --- The lawyer responded in a bizarre freak-out letter **-but still never told me what I had done to offend them.**

Needless to say, two conclusions can be drawn here:

- 1) This lawyer is not exactly normal (and, FWIW, I came 'this close' to reporting his behaviour to the bar, but I'm a Christian and overlooked it) – It is apparent I did nothing serious to provoke this lawyer: I had no dealings with him except having called his radio program infrequently –and having called his office TWICE -not 3 times -not 10 times, not 100 times -and without any threats, harassment, or further contact to his business **-whatsoever.**
- 2) **However (and here is the bottom line), I was not able to retain him** to represent me in my allegations of perjury -and, notably, while most lawyers are more polite than him, they are equally unwilling to represent me against powerful interests -unless I have loads of money (which I, as a poor person, do not have).

So, while this lawyer's behaviour is atypical, the end-result is typical: Unless I had huge amounts of money AND could find a very brave lawyer, I could not get representation before the courts.

Since the state attorney's office -and many other authorities –let me down, this meant that I had no Constitutional access to the courts, and I was afraid to bring a lawsuit myself -since I was afraid I might (once again) not get a 'fair day in court' & lose once again & be fined ****more**** heavy court fees, be made a restricted filer and/or jailed if I didn't pay the extortion fees that I was sure I would get.

New Development: One lawyer had given me pro bono (free) legal advice that I could not get a fair day in court without news media attention; Now that I am a little more adept at publishing my own news reports, I may be able to level the playing field and finally get a 'fair day in court' should I wish to proceed. --Tue. 14 Sept. 2010

OK, here are the 2 letters I wrote -and the 'bizarre' response from the lawyer -still not telling me what his grievance or beef with me was. --LOL--

– Gordon Wayne Watts, LAKELAND, Fla.

From the desk of
GORDON WAYNE WATTS
821 Alicia Rd - Lakeland, FL 33801
(863) 688-9880 / www.GordonWayneWatts.com

David Kaylor c/o Kaylor Law Group
3001 Barton Rd, Lakeland, FL 33803
(863) 688-7223 / www.KaylorLaw.com

Tue 28 Oct 2008

David,

Yesterday afternoon, I called your law office to return a message given to me by one of the staff at WLKF-1430 AM. As you recall, several months ago, I called in to your radio program about what could be done to remedy a State Supreme Court not following ~~the~~ their own rules ~~later~~ (the 5-day rule, Fla R App 9.420 (e), in this case).

A. As you recall, you didn't know the answer but suggested that Brent Geahagan would have been more expert and was just walking into the studio - 5 minutes late. So, I called back in when he arrived in the studio, but was told to ~~not~~ ask a different question - and call back later. When I did as I was told, and called back on a different show, one of the WLKF staff members said the lawyer wanted me to call his office instead.

That was probably a month or so ago. So, I called Brent's office & left a message, but did not hear back from him. So, I figured the call screener meant I was supposed to call your office. So, yesterday afternoon, I returned the message after having heard nothing from Brent - which seemed strange.

Your secretary put me on hold for a moment, and when she came back, she said "DON'T CALL BACK."


Apparently, David, there has been some misunderstanding:
I was only doing as I was told, on my honour.

I thought your guys were Christians; clarification is in order. My treatment was off-centre.

However, I am sorry if I inadvertently offended
you or one of your colleagues. If you have any further concerns, and if I can scrape some change together, we can talk over lunch.

FINALLY, I apologise for having to hand-write my letter - but my printer ~~just~~ just stopped working.

WITH KIND REGARDS,
SINCERELY

I AM,

GORDON WAYNE WATTS

From the Desk of:



Gordon Wayne Watts

821 Alicia Road, Lakeland, FL 33801-2113
Ph: (863) 688-9880 Email: Gww1210@aol.com
www.GordonWayneWatts.com / www.GordonWatts.com

Kaylor Law Group

Attention: David Kaylor
3001 Bartow Rd, Lakeland, FL 33803-8358
www.KaylorLaw.com / KaylorLaw@tampabay.rr.com
PH: (863) 668-7223

Friday, 02 January 2009

David,

After consultation with several of my seminary professors and others, and after careful reflection, I am writing you to fulfil and comport with Romans 12:18 and Matthew 5:25 of the Bible, which asks that I do my part to ensure peaceful relations with my neighbors, *namely you, et al.*

As you may recall, we had a misunderstanding, and I wrote you a 1-page letter apologising, back in late October, but I am taking the unprecedented step of writing you a 2nd time, because, even though I am did not intentionally offend you or your colleagues, I am sure beyond reasonable doubt that I did somehow offend one among you, and I feel led to make token but tangible restitution. I delayed in making restitution initially because I wanted to make sure there was no appearance or hint that I was asking for something in return. But, now that I am convinced that there is nothing you can do in return (several of you have now admitted that none of your lawyers are expert in the area of law relating to my pending case before the US Supreme Ct), I feel that it is ethically OK to offer some small form of restitution. Please find, enclosed, an expensive, premium pizza coupon booklet, a few dollars, and a planner that I do not need -as well as some interesting, and possibly life-saving research.

Even though I am writing to you to apologise for something I apparently did to you or one of your law partners, I would be remiss in my duty as a Christian brother to not mention that I, myself, was offended, as I described in my former (1-page) treatise; however, it would defeat my purpose (of diplomacy and peacemaking here) to complain to you, so I shall not complain. Nonetheless, if I have found favour in your eyesight, you would have my gratitude to tell me what it was I did to offend one of you. (Since I only know you through your radio program, it would be logical to assume that is how I have offended you.) I would guess that I offended one of you in either what I said (my tough call in question), how much I called in (I explained that somewhat in my last letter), or what I did regarding disenfranchising registered Democrats from voting for our mutual friend, Phil Walker. If the latter offended you (I do remember your comment that one program), rest assured, it was not my intent to run his campaign afoul. In fact, as soon as I realised the ramification of my actions, I immediately notified him of the situation, so it would not catch him off guard. (In fact, I defend many liberal causes, such as when I attempted in vain to get Janet Reno's votes recounted, as the Florida Law required in cases before the Fla Supreme Ct, 03-385 and 04-66; I also intervened in a traditional conservative case -Terri Schiavo's case no less -as the enclosed opinions show.) However, one word must be said about my petition for writ of certiorari pending before the US Supreme Court, Watts v. UAC, No. 08-6939: Since you rightly pointed out the court should obey its own rules, the repeated refusal of Florida courts to do so is scary: If I somehow lose my case, who will the court next trample on? You or I -or anyone -could be the court's next victim. Even though I am sure you cannot help me on my case, please do remember to pray for me. I would appreciate that.

*With kind regard, I am,
Sincerely,*

Gordon Wayne Watts

Gordon Wayne Watts

KAYLOR LAW GROUP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

DAVID S. KAYLOR
MATTHEW KAYLOR

MAIN OFFICE:
3001 BARTOW ROAD
LAKELAND, FLORIDA 33803

LAKELAND: (863) 668-7223
WINTER HAVEN: (863) 294-9844
LAKE WALES: (863) 678-3300
TOLL FREE: (888) 478-7223
FAX: (863) 665-8771

January 5, 2009

Gordan Wayne Watts
821 Alicia Road
Lakeland, FL 33801


Dear Mr. Watts:

Please be advised that we are not interested in receiving any additional communication of any sort from you. Do not call, visit or write me again. Also, do not call our radio show on WLKF 1430 AM on Mondays at 5:00 p.m. If you step foot on any of my property again, I will have you charged with trespassing.

I am returning all of the items that you dropped off at my office which would include your letters, coupons, \$8.00 in cash and a planner.

Your behavior is erratic and bizarre and I would encourage you to seek some type of therapy as soon as possible.

Sincerely,



David S. Kaylor

DSK/ja
Enclosures

Bizarre response
received from lawyer
Received by US Postal
mail on Wed. 87 Jan 2009.

(Lawyers getting upset at
a sincere apology??
— No WONDER so many people
hold lawyers in such low regard.)



Lawyer getting upset at sincere apology?? No WONDER so many people crack lawyer jokes & hold lawyers in such low regard. —LOL— —gww—