IARDC reply to Gordon Wayne Watts, dated Friday, 19 February 2016 Re: Joseph Younes in relation to Gordon wayne Watts, Case Number: 2015IN03387

400 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 6060 February 2011 sas a faile fait fait af af af as fait af affait af an fait af a fait a 0004635110 ų, 23 02 DAILED mersin 1 RELIT ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION ONE PRUDENTIAL PLAZA NT TOWTOHNE 130 EAST RANDOLPH DRIVE, SUITE 1500 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601-6219 821 Alicia Road Lakeland, FL 33801-2113 ou East Kandolph Drive, Suite 1500 Gordon Wayne Watts Chicago, Illinois 60601-6219 (312) 565-2600 (800) 826-8625 Fax (312) 565-2320



ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION of the SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS www.iardc.org

One Prudential Plaza 130 East Randolph Drive, Suite 1500 Chicago, Illinois 60601-6219 (312) 565-2600 (800) 826-8625 Fax (312) 565-2320 Gordon Wayne Watts 821 Alicia Road Lakeland, FL 33801-2113 3161 West White Oaks Drive, Suite 301 Springfield, IL 62704 (217) 546-3523 (800) 252-8048 Fax (217) 546-3785

Chicago February 19, 2016

Re: Joseph Younes in relation to Gordon Watts No. 2015IN03387

Dear Mr. Watts:

We have concluded our investigation in the above-captioned matter.

You complained about Joseph Younes' conduct in connection with his purchase of property from Richard Danniggelis. Even though you acknowledge that you are not a lawyer, you apparently attempted to file an affidavit and an *amicus curiae* brief in a 2007 foreclosure case filed in Cook County, Illinois, against Mr. Danniggelis and others. According to the affidavit and proposed brief, Mr. Danniggelis is a personal friend of yours. You explained that you came to know Mr. Danniggelis through his tenant Robert J. More, but you never met Mr. Danniggelis in person. You also claimed to have "almost won 'the' "Terri Schiavo" case" all by yourself. CORRECT. Thus for particular

In any event, you claimed that Mr. Younes engaged in a conflict when he took title to Mr. Danniggelis' property at 1725 N. Sedgewick St. in Chicago after he represented Mr. Danniggelis in a foreclosure suit filed against him in 2004 in connection with the same property. You also claimed that the July 9, 2006, warranty deed must have been a forgery because the month appeared whited-out and the signature on that document was identical to the warranty deed to Mr. Younes dated May 9, 2006, that Mr. Danniggelis signed. CORPECT: I down of this - and you did not dispute it.

Court records show that a foreclosure suit was filed against Mr. Dannigelis in 2004 and eventually dismissed by the lender in 2006. While the electronic docket sheet in the case shows that Mr. Younes filed a motion to vacate the foreclosure sale of the property, the docket sheet shows that on the same date, attorney Habib Younes also filed pleadings in the case for Mr. Dannigelis. Mr. Younes denied representing Mr. Dannigelis and explained that it was his father, also an attorney and now deceased, who filed the pleadings. Mr. Younes explained that he purchased the property from Mr. Dannigelis through attorney Paul Shelton (now disbarred as a result of unrelated misconduct) in 2006, leading to the payment of that mortgage and dismissal of the foreclosure case.Gordon Wayne Watts

Mr. Younes explained that he was not involved in the execution of the warranty deed but that the closing date was changed, apparently from May to July 9, 2006. He also explained that after he purchased the property from Mr. Dannigelis, he allowed Mr. Danniggelis to retain possession of the property after the closing date; however, Mr. Dannigelis contested the validity of Mr. Younes' title to the property and ended up holding possession for about eight years while litigation pended, i.e., until about July 2015, without paying the mortgage and expenses.

Disborred & Lorr BROKER historio for doing the same thing

War No consideration (gayment)-thur No PURCHASE

Gordon Wayne Watts February 19, 2016 Page 2

Correct. But since the ORDER had NO Legal bases, the judge was NEVER able to give a legal justification in his written order.

Mr. Younes explained that he could not keep up with his mortgage payments without getting income from the property and a second mortgage foreclosure was filed. Court records show that in 2007, a foreclosure case was filed against Mr. Younes, Mr. Dannigelis (apparently because of his cloud on the title) and others. On February 15, 2013, the court entered an order in favor of Mr. Younes and against Mr. Dannigelis finding that Mr. Younes was the sole owner of the property and that Mr. Dannigelis had no interest. Thereafter, the court denied Mr. Dannigelis' motion to reconsider. On May 15, 2014, the court issued a memorandum of judgment dismissing Mr. Dannigelis' action to quiet title and cancelling the fraudulent document notice that he had recorded. An appeal in that case remains pending.

Mr. Younes filed a forcible entry and detainer action against Mr. Dannigelis in 2014 to obtain possession of the property. On January 27, 2015, the court entered an order of possession. There is an appeal also pending in that case.

You acknowledged that Mr. Danniggelis was represented at various times by attorneys from Chicago Volunteer Legal Service and by attorney Andjelko Galic. Neither any judge nor any lawyer reported any wrongdoing by Mr. Younes to the Commission. In fact, rather than blaming Mr. Younes for an impropriety, the third amended answer in the 2007 foreclosure case filed by Mr. Danniggelis' attorney acknowledged that Mr. Dannigelis signed the May 9, 2006, deed; that Habib Younes filed the motion to stay the foreclosure sale in the 2004 foreclosure case; that Mr. Dannigelis signed a power of attorney (prepared by Mr. Shelton) at the direction of Erika Rhone, Mr. Shelton's former employee; and that Mr. Shelton and/or Ms. Rhone altered the date on the deed to July 9, 2006. Moreover, according to the transcript of the February 13, 2013, hearing on the motion for summary judgment, Mr. Dannigelis' attorney provided no caselaw to support his claims related to the purported fraudulent conveyance.

The Commission cannot take the place of a court of law to determine, individual rights. Under all of the circumstances, we have determined that we would be unable to prove by clearing and convincing evidence at a formal disciplinary hearing that Mr. Younes engaged in professional misconduct warranting action against his license to practice law. As a result, we will take no further action.

Not guite After the 1st dral fell through, someone forgel the Albert S. Krawczyk Senior Counsel MAINLIB-#717752-v1 then 6831 To advanted to Judge otto, in my reborning motion that I was whong in my belief that Tole Conference - No, they only result A. POINT? I am not above Admitting that I could be wrong - The

Very truly yours,

agent S. Howard

You assault disagree with me hey, I could be wrong ? You show ME what bases existed for nones 19.9W 7714 T